## State code 25: Development in South East Queensland koala habitat areas

## Table 25.2.1 All development

| Performance outcomes | Acceptable outcomes | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Retaining koala habitat areas |
| **PO1** Development interfering with koala habitat (including interfering with koala habitat as a result of material change of use and interfering with koala habitat as a result of reconfiguring a lot) does not occur unless the application demonstrates the interfering with koala habitat has:1. been reasonably avoided; or
2. been reasonably minimised where it cannot be reasonably avoided; and
3. mitigated the impacts of the interfering with koala habitat values.
 | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. | **Complies with PO# / AO#**Use this column to indicate whether compliance is achieved with the relevant PO or AO (or if they do not apply), and explain why |
| Koala sensitive design and connectivity |
| **PO2** The design and siting of development avoids fragmenting koala habitat areas within the site.  | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| **PO3** The design and siting of development does not result in impediments that restrict the movement of koalas by providing for safe koala movement between highly connected patches of retained koala habitat area. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| Koala safety from construction activities |
| **PO4** The construction of the development does not increase the risk of injury or death of koalas.  | **AO4.1** A koala management plan is provided that includes: 1. activities that may cause injury or death of koalas from construction activities; and
2. acceptable measures to avoid and mitigate injury or death of koalas from construction activities

Note: To demonstrate compliance with this acceptable outcome, a koala management plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person.AND**AO4.2** Interfering with koala habitat complies with the sequential clearing and koala spotter requirements under section 10 and 11 of the Nature Conservation (Koala) Conservation Plan 2017.  |  |
| Matters of State Environmental Significance |
| **PO5** Development:1. avoids impacts on matters of state environmental significance; or
2. minimises and mitigates impacts on matters of state environmental significance after demonstrating avoidance is not reasonably possible; and
3. provides an offset if, after demonstrating all reasonable avoidance, minimisation and mitigation measures are undertaken, the development results in an acceptable significant residual impact on a matter of state environmental significance that is a prescribed environmental matter.

Note: Guidance for determining if development will have a significant residual impact on koala habitat areas is provided in Chapter 2A of the Queensland Environmental Offsets Policy.Guidance for determining if development will have a significant residual impact on all other matters of state environmental significance is provided in the Significant Residual Impact Guideline, Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning, 2014. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| Category C and R vegetation |
| **PO6** Development:1. avoids impacts on category C areas of vegetation and category R areas of vegetation; or
2. minimises and mitigates impacts on category C areas of vegetation and category R areas of vegetation after demonstrating avoidance is not reasonably possible.
 | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |