## State code 22: Environmentally relevant activities

**Table 22.2.2: Material change of use**

| Performance outcomes | Acceptable outcomes | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| All ERAs | | |
| PO1 Development is suitably located and designed to avoid or mitigate environmental harm to the acoustic environment. | AO1.1 Development meets the acoustic quality objectives for sensitive receptors identified in the Environmental Protection (Noise) Policy 2019. | Complies with PO# / AO#  Use this column to indicate whether compliance is achieved with the relevant PO or AO (or if they do not apply), and explain why |
| PO2 Development is suitably located and designed to avoid or mitigate environmental harm to the air environment. | AO2.1 Development meets the air quality objectives of the Environmental Protection (Air) Policy 2019. |  |
| 1. PO3 Development, other than intensive animal industry for poultry farming, is suitably located and designed to avoid or mitigate environmental harm on adjacent sensitive land uses caused by odour. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| 1. PO4 Development is suitably located and designed to avoid or mitigate environmental harm to the receiving waters environment. | AO4.1 Development meets the management intent, water quality guidelines and objectives of the Environmental Protection (Water and Wetland Biodiversity) Policy 2019. |  |
| PO5 Development is designed to include elements which:   1. prevent or minimise the production of hazardous contaminants and waste as by-products; or 2. contain and treat hazardous contaminants on-site rather than releasing them into the environment; and 3. provide secondary containment to prevent the accidental release of hazardous contaminants to the environment from spillage or leaks. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| PO6 Environmentally hazardous materials located on site are stored to avoid or minimise their release into the environment due to inundation during flood events. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| All development – matters of environmental significance | | |
| PO7 Development:   1. avoids impacts on matters of state environmental significance; or 2. minimises and mitigates impacts on matters of state environmental significance after demonstrating avoidance is not reasonably possible; and 3. provides an offset if, after demonstrating all reasonable avoidance, minimisation and mitigation measures are undertaken, the development results in an acceptable significant residual impact on a matter of state environmental significance.   Statutory note: For Brisbane core port land, an offset may only be applied to development on land identified as E1 Conservation/Buffer, E2 Open Space or Buffer/Investigation in the Brisbane Port LUP precinct plan. For the Brisbane Port LUP, see [www.portbris.com.au](https://apac01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Furldefense.proofpoint.com%2Fv2%2Furl%3Fu%3Dhttp-3A__www.portbris.com.au%26d%3DDwMFAg%26c%3DtpTxelpKGw9ZbZ5Dlo0lybSxHDHIiYjksG4icXfalgk%26r%3Dj8d4Zfp2C-A5Ercrdvg5iPCyh7dpRoRj6feYer9UrEw%26m%3D1xhbQenzSj-ciNoYi2MCvXAHk8zpAEWoyMMaHGnZz5s%26s%3Dpr51eu27YBAwu5wExmAWPQAqM3-OHQFcVls3qPZYV9I%26e%3D&data=01%7C01%7CKaren.Kenny%40dilgp.qld.gov.au%7C42c843c42f3f4e766bfd08d4c28a9b58%7C7db2bee6535c4748bf78c30733511bcd%7C0&sdata=maUhKUTczEQLl0csTIgKhJv1BE%2F5iNrJLG%2FvaBX%2B0v8%3D&reserved=0).  Note: Guidance for determining if the development will have a significant residual impact on a matter of state environmental significance is provided in the Significant Residual Impact Guideline, Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning, 2014. Where the significant residual impact is considered an acceptable impact on the matter of state environmental significance and an offset is considered appropriate, the offset should be delivered in accordance with the *Environmental Offsets Act 2014*. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| Category C areas and category R areas of vegetation | | |
| PO8 Development:   1. avoids impacts on category C areas of vegetation and category R areas of vegetation; or 2. minimises and mitigates impacts on category C areas and category R areas of vegetation after demonstrating avoidance is not reasonably possible. | No acceptable outcome is prescribed. |  |
| Intensive animal industry – poultry farming (ERA 4(2)) | | |
| PO9 Poultry farming development (where farming more than 200,000 birds) is suitably located and designed to avoid or mitigate environmental harm on adjacent sensitive land uses caused by odour. | AO9.1 For poultry farming involving 300,000 birds or less, development meets the separation distances as determined using the S-factor methodology to:   1. a sensitive land use in a rural zone; and 2. boundary of a non-rural zone.   OR |  |
| AO9.2 Development meets the separation distances as determined by odour modelling using the following criteria:   1. 2.5 odour units, 99.5 percent, 1 hour average for a sensitive land use in a rural zone; or 2. 1.0 odour units, 99.5 percent, 1 hour average for the boundary of a non-rural zone.   Statutory note: Guidance for determining if the development will cause environmental harm caused by odour is provided in the Development of Meat Chicken Farms in Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, 2016 and the Guideline – Odour Impact Assessment from Developments, Department of Environment and Heritage Protection, 2013. |  |