Our reference: F19/8519



Queensland Treasury

3 November 2020

Haidar Etemadi AECOM PO Box 1307 FORTITUDE VALLEY QLD 4006

Email: haidar.etemadi@aecom.com

Dear Mr Etemadi

Pre-application meeting record – Saraji East Mining Lease Project (SEMLP)

This pre-application record provides a summary of relevant matters discussed at the preapplication meeting with Queensland Treasury (QT). This record is provided in good faith and provides initial advice regarding likely issues relevant to the proposed project.

If the proposal is changed from what was discussed at the pre-application meeting, you may wish to seek further or amended advice from QT.

Meeting date:	21 October 2020		
Attendees:	Morag Elliott (ME) - QT		
	 Haidar Etemadi (HE) - Aecom 		
	Chris Adamson (CA) - Aecom		
	Brett Garner (GB) – BHP		
	Andrew Isaac (AI) - Andy Isaac Consulting Surveyor		
	 Sue-Ellen Dear (SD) – Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy (DNRME) 		
	Adam Gilmour (AG) - DNRME		
Site details			
Real property description:	Lot 101 on SP310393		
Area of regional interest:	Strategic Cropping Area (SCA)		
Local government area:	Isaac Regional Council		
Regional plan:	Mackay, Isaac and Whitsunday Regional Plan		

Details of proposal			
Resource activity	Mining and other		
Description of	 Overhead 66 kV powerline associated with the Saraji East single-seam underground mine project 		

Supporting information

Plan / Report title	Author	Version	Date
Figure 1: SCL Trigger Map	GTenvironmental	Version 2	02/09/2019
Figure 2: Map Units	GTenvironmental	Version 4	30/08/2020
Figure 3: Strategic Cropping Land	GTenvironmental	Version 4	30/08/2020

Pre-application record

Item	Advice			
Overvi	iew of proposal			
1.	(a) Project overview including:			
	(i) 66kV powerline			
	(ii) 30m wide easement corridor			
	(iii) 100 - 200m easement to the west			
	(iv) No feasible option to go around the strategic cropping area (SCA)			
	(v) verified strategic cropping land (SCL) and non-verified SCL			
	(vi) proposed disturbance area of 12 -15 ha of verified SCL			
	(vii) whole site owned is by BMA.			
	(b) An application for a regional interests development approval (RIDA) will be lodged during the EIS process.			
	(c) Engagement with DNRME during the EIS process.			
	(d) Additional soils work has been done following feedback from DNRME.			
Perma	nent impacts and restoration plan			
2.	(a) If no permanent impact is anticipated, this must be clearly demonstrated with a associated restoration plan.			
	(b) All the relevant data of the site must be obtained prior to the impact occurring in order to form a baseline of the quality of the SCL.			
	 (c) The restoration plan does not have a time frame of when it ceases but remains in effect: (i) whilst the impact is occurring (ii) until the site has been rehabilitated to its pre-impact condition (iii) measurable performance indicators of completion are met. 			

	 (d) Guidance on what to include and consider, including in a restoration provided in the statutory guidelines at https://planning.dsdmip.qld.gov.au/planning/regional-planning-intere_act-forms-and-guidelines including: (i) RPI Act Statutory Guideline 03/14 Carrying out activities in the statutory Guideline 03/14 Carrying out activities 	sts-act/rpi-
	 (ii) RPI Act Statutory Guideline 08/14 How to demonstrate that land strategic cropping area does not meet the criteria for strategic cropping area 	in the
	(iii) RPI Act Statutory Guideline 09/14 How to determine of an activity permanent impact on strategic cropping land.	
Mitigat	on	
3.	(a) Only required to pay or enter into a mitigation arrangement if there is permanent impact on SCL which is within the 2% allowable permanelimits.	
	(b) s50 of the RPI Act includes that mitigation is to be in place before cathe activity.	arrying out
Mappir	g	
4.	 (a) Unless verified non-SCL, the 2% referred to in the prescribed solution required outcome 2 refers to currently mapped SCL. (b) Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Non-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Verified SCL' and the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance in both the 'Nen-SCL Areas of disturbance	
	 in Figure 3 Strategic Cropping Land are to be included in the RIDA a (c) The application is to include impacts of the corridor on mapped SCL delineate non-SCL and SCL. 	• •
	(d) If assessed and agreed as part of the RIDA application process, ver SCL can then be removed from the mapping.	ified non-
	Note: There is no additional fees for DNRME to remove land from the SCL trigger map, in confirmed as non-compliant SCL during the assessment of the application for a RIDA. If land that is applied for is assessed, and/or removed. If extra land is to be assessed (e.g. infrastructure corridor):	lowever, only
	 an application is to be lodged with DNRME. The application fee is equivalent to the in the RPI Regulation 	prescribed fee
	 the application for a RIDA could include a request for the assessment of this addition the application fee to reflect this additional area. 	onal land, with
Genera	l advice	
5.	(a) It is requested that detailed shapefiles be provided with the application RIDA, identifying the footprint of disturbance and associated impacts SCL, soil polygons and site locations for all check sites, analysed site profile sites. It is also useful to include the LiDAR DEM with the app Note: While not discussed at the pre-application meeting, high resolution copies of (not pdfs where the resolution is reduced) has recently been useful for other applicit.	s to mapped les and lication.
	(b) To support the assertion that there will be no permanent impact, the	tructure.
	is to include as much information as possible, including exact location	
	photographs, engineering drawings, as well as a restoration plan.	iof
	(c) Although it is not mandatory to notify applications in the SCA, the ch executive has the discretion to require notification. It has been gene	
	to require notification. An application can include a request for notific	•
	be required, which will be considered executive (Refer to RPI Statut	ory
	Guideline 06/14 Notification requirements under the RPI Act at https://dsdmipprd.blob.core.windows.net/general/rpi-guideline-06-14	
	notification-requirements-under-rpi.pdf)	<u> </u>

(d)	Timeframes – approx. 50d, or 65 bd if public notification is required. This
	excludes any extensions and the time the applicant takes to provide a response
	to a requirement notice, if one is issued.
(e)	The disturbance area is taken to be the whole easement area.

If you require any further information, please contact me on 3452 7653 or morag.elliott@dsdmip.qld.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

M. Elliot

Morag Elliott Manager



Minutes of Meeting

Saraji East Mining Lease Project

Subject	RIDA Pre-application Discussions	Page	1
Venue	Online - Teams	Time	10 am to 11 am
Participants	Morag Elliot (QT) Adam Gilmour (DNRME) Sue-ellen Dear (DNRME) Brett Garner (BHP) Chris Adamson (AECOM) Haidar Etemadi (AECOM)		
Apologies	Andrew Isaac (Andy Isaac Consulting Surveyor)		
File/Ref No.		Date	21-Oct-2020
Distribution	As above		

No	Item	Action
1.	Opening Introductions and agenda outline	-
2.	Project background	-
	• Context and description of the Project briefly summarised by Brett.	
	• Further soil investigations have been undertaken since the last meeting pre-application meetings held in 2018 and 2019.	
	• Proposed disturbance corridor to be 30 m in width, with approximately 12 to 15 ha of disturbance to SCL based on brief calculations.	
	• Proposed disturbance corridor is only required for the purpose of a single powerline.	
3.	Addressing the agenda questions	-
	• Mitigation measures will be imposed where permanent impacts are less than 2% of the SCL trigger map in a subject property.	
	• A restoration plan is required where impacts are planned to be restored to baseline conditions, even supposing the proposed activity is planned for several years (the guidelines do not specify the number of years)	
	• Sue-ellen noted no previous application with a restoration plan has been assessed thus far, therefore there are no current restoration plan examples.	
	 Morag noted she will check previous applications for examples of restoration plans. 	
	• Sue-ellen noted that this application will be the first application that is for infrastructure in SCL.	



0	Item		Action
	•	The Department noted that lodgement of restoration plans are rare.	
	•	Where mitigation measures are applicable, the timing of payment will be prior to undertaking the impact (as per section 50 of the RPI Act)	
	•	Prescribed solution for required outcome 2 in the Regional Planning Interests Regulation 2014 states the area of SCL that is permanently impacted must be no more than 2% of the SCL on the property – This refers to the verified SCL areas. Where the areas are not assessed the calculations are to be taken as per the Trigger Map.	
	•	Public notification requirements are looked at through case by case scenarios. Notification requirements depend on the relevant location of the development and whether public notification has been previously undertaken for a project where SCL concerns have been adequately addressed.	
	•	The RIDA application can reason why a public notification is not necessary and this will be considered through the assessment process.	
	•	Application fee for SCA less than 30 ha is \$6745.00 as per the RPI Regulation 2014.	
	•	Subsequent to the assessment of the RIDA application and the State's agreement on the SCL investigation outcomes, SCL trigger mapping will be changed as per the application and assessment. The mapping change will only occur for the assessed areas.	
	•	Where the applicant would like to remove the 'unverified SCL' areas from the SCL trigger map located outside the proposed disturbance area, the RIDA application must also apply and be purposed for this. Therefore, the RIDA application may be made to:	
		 Remove non verified SCL area from the SCL trigger map, and 	
		 Assess the impacts of the activity within the proposed corridor 	
	•	An application made for a larger extent (i.e. impacts within the proposed corridor AND request to remove non-verified SCL areas from the SCL trigger map) will change the nature of the assessment application and increase the application fee accordingly.	
	•	Statutory timeframe for RIDA applications is 60 business days, subject to notice requirements and extensions.	
	•	Detail plans supporting the application should have as much details as possible. Engineering/detail design plans are not as necessary for the RIDA applications, however may be required as part of the restoration plan to detail the impacts and restoration tactics.	